G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03

(57)

File No. 456

Serial Nos. 1-2

P. 9 (E)

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Refund bill in favour of one Mahadeva Sahi of Bhimasandra, Tumkur Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

REVENUE AND GENERAL, DEPARTMENTS.

Short Office Note.

---

* No. and date of letter, from whom, catch words to denote nature of Subject should be stated, in red ink.

One form may be used for more than one letter of the same file as long as there is space. The Office Note should be in black ink. Write only on side of this form.

***Subject**

Letter no: 686 dated 26th March 1903, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, submitting for sanction a refund bill for Rs 8-8-0.

Note S. no: 80 of Heggere, Tumkur Taluk measuring 15 acres & 11 guntas assessed at Rs 12/- was in the joint enjoyment of three persons. At the request of the registered occupant the land was sub divided in 1894 into 3 parts to facilitate the payment of land revenue by the enjoyers. According to the measurement by the Taluk measurer, the extent of parts 1 & 2 was 4 a. 34 g & 4 a. 33 g respectively. Part 3 does not seem to have been actually measured but its area was taken to be the area of the whole survey number minus the area of parts 1 & 2. On the Complaint in 1898 of Mohadeen Sab part 3 was measured by the Taluk measurer who reported that the

x Rag A.

(X) 72 1/2 B

actual extent of part 3 was less by 1·39 a.g. On a reference made to the Survey Supdt: the actual extent of the whole survey number was stated to be less by 2·19 a.g ⊗ & the extent in the Karbaud was corrected from 15·11 a.g to 12·32 a.g. The assessment on the survey number was reduced from Rs 12 to 10 which was given effect to from 98·99. This difference in area and assessment seems to have been applied to part 3 of the survey number as may be seen from the × extract of Khetwar' but the × Statement showing the corrected area of the survey number prepared in the Survey office does not give the details for the sub divisions.

⊗ Flag C

× Flag D

× Flag E

Mohadin Sab claims the refund of the excess amount of Rs 8·8·0 (land revenue + local cess) paid by him for the years 1894-95 to 97-98 for which a refund bill is submitted

Submitted to the Secy with a draft for appl

5/5/03

6/8/03

Is this not one of the matters required to come through the Rev Cr. Put up the last order please Hm
6/5/03

Refunds

Y Cutcliffe
Ref re
11/5/03

Mb matter
The question is
one of refund —
not a refund. —
A Copy of the order
is put up. It does
not provide for such
Cases
12/5/03

Copy of Rev No R3758-67
of 27.3.03 to stat cyl.
MDa
12/5

There is another order — about all
Correspondence (Revs of Dy Crs coming
through Rev Cr. Please let me see that
Hm
14/5/03

Ref re 14/5/03

Mbd Water Secs.

Copy of Order of 1st Dec 1902 is
put up - This does not appear
like a purely revenue matter -
It is more financial than
Rev
re
22/5/03

I presume this is issued in the H Dept & usually -

Issue

25/5/73

25/5/73

Sanad

1902-03 G.C. 1368

From The Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, General & Revenue Departments

Dated 26th March 1903.
Despatched 7.4.03
Received

General No. 686
No.

Enclosure No. 14 in annexure file

Submitting for sanction a refund bill for the 8-8-0 being the Excess amount of Kandayam and local cess, in favor of one Mohadeen Sab of Bhimarandra Brahmasandra, Tumkur Taluk.

8-4-03

Submitted with a draft
28/4/03.

MGCPB—50 reams—6-12-02.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur 26th March 1903.

From

M. S. Narayana Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

N. V. Nanjundayya Esquire, M.A., M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for sanction a refund bill for Rs 8-8-0 in favour of one Mohadeen Sabi of Brahmasandra (Bhimasandra (Kannada papers)) in the Tumkur Taluk. The refund is recommended on the following grounds:—

2. Survey No 80 of Heggere, Tumkur Taluk measuring 15 acres+11 guntas, assessed at (Rs 15/-) (Rs 12 not Rs 15 (Kannada papers)) which stood in the khata of Ranga Narasa, was in the joint enjoyment of three persons as Kisedars, viz., Ranga Narasa, Mohadeen Sabi and Hunta Iraiya. The Khatedar of the land applied to the Amildar for the sub-division of the land into three separate numbers to facilitate the payment of Kandayam by the respective enjoyers. Accordingly the said survey number was sub-divided into 3 phods.

The

The portion of land (S. No. 80/3) in the enjoyment of Mohadin Sabi was shewn in the accounts at 5 acres and 24 guntas, assessed at Rs 4-8-0, which he enjoyed from 1894-95 upto 1897-98, and when he got this land measured himself, he found the area to be less by 2 acres than was shewn in the accounts. He therefore applied to the Amildar for re-measurement of his land and refund of the Excess Kandayam levied from him during the said 4 years. The Taluk Mojamidar, who has re-measured the field No. 80/3 in 1898, reports that there are only 3 acres and 25 guntas, not 5 acres and 24 guntas as shewn at the time of subdivision. This fact was reported by this office, to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, who, after scrutiny of the field papers, found that Survey No. 80/3 actually measured (K) 2 acres and 5 guntas, assessable at Rs 2-8-0 and accordingly corrected the Akar land, which is given effect to from the year 1898-99. On the strength of the revision of the area by the Survey Department, Mohadeen Sabi now claims refund of the Excess Kandayam recovered from him; hence the enclosed refund bill for the sanction of Government.

(K) The Kannada papers show that the actual measurement was 3 acres & 5 guntas.

3. The vernacular records on the subject are herewith submitted for reference and favor of return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy-Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

l/s.

SC No: 2

Read:

Letter no: 686 dated 26th March 1903 from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District submitting for sanction a refund bill in favour of one Mohadin Sab of Bhima-Sandra, Tumkur Taluk for Rs 8-8-0 being the excess amount of land revenue and local cess recovered from him for the years 1894-95 to 97-98 on part 3 of S. no: 80 of Heggere village of the same Taluk, the extent thereof ~~which~~, viz 5-24 a-g as originally entered in the accts was on the ~~application~~ complaint of Mohadin Sab found to be less by 2-19 a-g, necessitating a reduction of the assessment thereon from Rs 4-8-0 to Rs 2-8-0

Order thereon:

The bill is sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptroller, M. Q. for audit.

The Extent of the Whole Secretary

D

S Em V. Pf 1.

No H 2049-51

27th May 1903

27

To The Dy Comr Tumkur Dist returning Kannada papers
" Comptroller, M.Q. with
" Suptdt, Mysore Revenue } the bill.

Surv. No. had been
Calculated in Sur-
vey. Dept. the mis-
-take was admitted
& the Marband
revised.

Secretary

M.
6/5/03